

Decision _____

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Kenneth A. Adelman,

Complainant,

vs.

Pacific Gas and Electric Company (U 39 E),

Defendant.

Case 01-08-013
(Filed August 13, 2001)**OPINION DISMISSING COMPLAINT**

In an interim decision dated October 10, 2001, the Commission approved a settlement agreement between complainant Kenneth A. Adelman and defendant Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) related to PG&E's provision of service to complainant's home in conjunction with a solar power system that complainant had installed. The parties asked that the case remain open pending resolution of other issues. By joint motion dated July 15, 2002, the parties state that they have resolved all issues raised in the complaint, and they request a Commission decision dismissing the complaint with prejudice.

This is an uncontested matter in which the decision grants the relief requested. Accordingly, pursuant to Section 311(g)(2) of the Public Utilities Code, the otherwise applicable 30-day period for public review and comment is being waived.

Findings of Fact

1. In Decision 01-10-023, the Commission approved a preliminary settlement in this matter but continued the case pending resolution of other issues.
2. By joint motion dated July 15, 2002, the parties request a final decision by the Commission dismissing the complaint with prejudice.

Conclusion of Law

The complaint should be dismissed with prejudice.

O R D E R

IT IS ORDERED that:

1. Case (C.) 01-08-013 is dismissed with prejudice.
2. C.01-08-013 is closed.

This order is effective today.

Dated _____, at San Francisco, California.